| | Case 2:21-cv-02382-KJM-SCR Docume | nt 55 | Filed 09/16/24 | Page 1 of 3 | |
|----|---|-------|------------------|-------------|--|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | CYMEYON HILL, | No | o. 2:21-cv-02382 | KJM SCR P | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | | | | |
| 13 | v. | OR | <u>RDER</u> | | |
| 14 | A. SCOTLAND, et al., | | | | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | | | |
| 16 | | _ | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief | | | | |
| 18 | under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided | | | | |
| 19 | by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. | | | | |
| 20 | On March 13, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were | | | | |
| 21 | served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings | | | | |
| 22 | and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither party filed objections to | | | | |
| 23 | the findings and recommendations. | | | | |
| 24 | The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, | | | | |
| 25 | 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed | | | | |
| 26 | de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law | | | | |
| 27 | by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court | | | | |
| 28 | ///// | 1 | | | |
| I | .l | I | | | |

| | Case 2:21-cv-02382-KJM-SCR Document 55 Filed 09/16/24 Page 2 of 3 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | "). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be | | | |
| 2 | supported by the record and by the proper analysis. | | | |
| 3 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | | | |
| 4 | 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 53) are adopted in full; | | | |
| 5 | 2. Defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 48) is denied as moot; and | | | |
| 6 | 2. This case is dismissed for plaintiff's failure to comply with the local rules and with | | | |
| 7 | court orders. | | | |
| 8 | DATED: September 16, 2024. | | | |
| 9 | 100 00 1 | | | |
| 10 | V Mulle | | | |
| 11 | CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |

Case 2:21-cv-02382-KJM-SCR Document 55 Filed 09/16/24 Page 3 of 3 DLB:9/Hill2382.800